Julia,
Attached please find my written comments that I made last night at the GP Subcommittee meeting. Please distribute to the committee and consultant.
Thank you,
Keith Weber
Thank you for the opportunity to participate in this valuable community discussion.

The Downtown Plan process which took place over the last 2 years was deeply disappointing. It was a process, it seems to me, that began with the premise that downtown is little more than an economic opportunity. The historic core - the symbolic center of our community and single most valuable asset - was left as an afterthought, when it should have been the starting point.

2019 marks the 125th anniversary of San Mateo’s incorporation. We are a mature city with deep roots and a valued past. And that community value is imbedded in our built environment, both commercial and residential. A responsible vision for our future can only be achieved if it values our past as an expression of our community identity and our collective self respect.

If our General Plan is to be a long-range statement of community priorities and values developed to guide future public decision making, it needs a holistic and sustainable premise.

The first rule of the medical profession is “do no harm.” If the committee begins with the premise that community heritage is an irreplaceable asset that should be preserved and protected, economic opportunity will follow.

An good example can be found in our current General Plan, which “confirms the city’s commitment that the protection, enhancement, perpetuation, and use of historic structures are of economic, cultural and aesthetic benefit to the city of San Mateo.”

I hope, also, that if outside professional speakers will be invited to make presentations on transportation, development density, etc., that one evening be devoted to historic preservation consultants who can inform the public on the economic and cultural benefits of identifying, preserving and protecting our historic community assets.
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Subject: Suggested Edits to the Draft General Plan Vision Statement

Julia,

Attached, please find my suggested edits to the Draft General Plan Vision Statement which was discussed at the December 17, 2018 General Plan Subcommittee Meeting #3. The edits retain all 12 of the itemized points in the original draft presented to the GPS and the public. Some are verbatim, others expanded upon or reworded for greater clarification, but the core ideas remain unchanged. They are italicized for your convenience and easy reference. Other suggested edits:

**Eliminate the categories**
Several subcommittee members noted correctly that every item in the vision is connected to the others. They are all interrelated and all work together. Categorizing the vision statement has the effect of separating and segregating what should be an integrated and cohesive vision.

**Use bullets rather than numbering**
Numbering the items lends a higher degree of priority to the items and categories at the top in relation to those at the bottom, even if unintended. Using bullets instead of numbers mitigates this bias.

**Introduction**
Lastly, I think an introductory paragraph is necessary to establish the context and set the tone and trajectory of what follows.

Please include my suggested edits in the meeting materials for the Planning Commission review and in the project record.

Thank you,
Keith Weber

CC:
General Plan Subcommittee
Planning Commission
City Council
Ron Munekawa
Placeworks
San Mateo’s vision for 2040 continues to value our neighborhoods, parks, and history, while acknowledging the challenging housing, infrastructure and environmental realities we now face. It strives to balance the need for sustainable growth with enhanced quality of life for current and future generations. Civic pride is reflected in San Mateo’s diverse population, plentiful housing choices, traditional downtown, great neighborhoods and schools, gardens, parks, and trees.

In 2040, San Mateo:

- Growth serves the needs of the community. Infrastructure, housing and job growth are in balance. People of all ages live, work and play in safe, accessible, well designed human-scale residential and commercial areas. *Higher density development is directed into transit nodes and along transit corridors.*

- New high density housing development has *a range of housing types for all ages and abilities,* providing a variety of unit sizes and affordability levels for a diverse mix of families, couples, and singles. *Housing is affordable at all income levels,* reflecting a close “fit” with the income of local workers. Existing single and multi-family housing that is affordable to those with lower incomes is retained and preserved for on-going affordability.

- *A diverse economic base includes a variety of retail, service, restaurant, entertainment, office, educational, and industrial enterprises.* Local businesses pay living wages to people with all types of educational and vocational abilities. *Locally owned and operated business are encouraged and supported.*

- Historic resources are identified and protected, creating a harmonious blend of new and historic buildings. *Citywide, new infill development of high quality design compliments San Mateo’s historic character and sense of place.*

- *Safe and efficient modes of public and private transit are accessible to everyone, including the mobility impaired and those with special needs.* Alternatives to automobile travel include frequent bus service, commuter rail, walking, bicycling, personal vehicles, and other emergent transportation technologies and services. Ferries and water taxis shuttle commuters and sightseers to the East Bay and San Francisco.

- Measures of sustainability are incorporated into all policy and land use decisions. San Mateo *strives to mitigate and adapt to the effects of sea level rise, earthquakes and climate change. It is a leader in public safety, emergency preparedness, water and energy conservation, and per capita green-house gas emission reduction.*

- *Recreational and social opportunities are provided equitably throughout the city to meet the needs of all community members.* The city supports and recognizes the value of a variety of programs that include social services, child care, cultural arts and other programs that foster community health and add to the city’s vibrancy. Parks and open spaces frame the city on the east and west. City and neighborhood parks show the high value placed on natural resources and community gathering places.