

**From:** [Julia Klein](#)  
**To:** [Maxine Turner](#); [General Plan](#)  
**Cc:** [Kohar Kojayan](#)  
**Subject:** RE: GP-Update Study Areas  
**Date:** Monday, October 7, 2019 2:24:53 PM

---

Hi Maxine,

Thanks for emailing.

Regarding your question, staff and consultant team is proposing that the initial study focus on properties that are currently zoned non-residential or multifamily residential, and exclude from study the R1 and R2 zoned properties. The research and analysis that will be the next step in the land use alternatives process will include parameters such as heights and densities.

I'm not aware of any plans from the College District to explore providing housing on the CSM campus. If you have information, please share.

Thanks,  
Julia

Julia Klein | Principal Planner  
Community Development Department | Planning Division  
330 W. 20th Avenue, San Mateo, CA 94403  
650-522-7216 | [jklein@cityofsanmateo.org](mailto:jklein@cityofsanmateo.org)  
[www.cityofsanmateo.org/planning](http://www.cityofsanmateo.org/planning)

*Please consider the environment before printing this email.*

**From:** Maxine Turner [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 4, 2019 4:09 PM  
**To:** Julia Klein <[jklein@cityofsanmateo.org](mailto:jklein@cityofsanmateo.org)>  
**Cc:** Kohar Kojayan <[kkojayan@cityofsanmateo.org](mailto:kkojayan@cityofsanmateo.org)>  
**Subject:** GP-Update Study Areas

Julia - Were the existing GP land use criteria for heights & densities used to conclude that there were sufficient allowances in non-residentially zoned property to meet a significant proportion of future growth needs? If not, what criteria were used?

Also, FYI, the former Chancellor at the Community College District has said more than once that there are 50 acres of land on each campus that could be available for housing. I have this in writing from the minutes. It is not clear whether this qualifies for academic purposes or whether the land could be made available for non-student housing. I think such large acreages of parking should be considered in the GP.

Regards,  
Maxine

