From: Jean Dail <_____ Sent: Monday, March 6, 2023 4:35 PM To: City Council (San Mateo) <<u>CityCouncil@cityofsanmateo.org</u>>; Patrice Olds <<u>polds@cityofsanmateo.org</u>> Subject: General Plan comments regarding Measure Y

Dear honorable City Council Members - Why does it seem City Council continues to be on a mission to abolish a legally obtained and voters' approved measure to enforce the height and density limits of Measure Y? With State "overrides" builders can easily add stories to attain **higher heights**!

Additionally, while we understand you will have to create a ballot measure to allow for the densest, highest development options Council approved last year, let's ensure it is something residents can actually get behind so we don't end up with a fiasco like Measure R - the measure that went against Measure Y and lost terribly. Otherwise, all your work on the new General Plan is for nothing.

Why isn't City Council looking into ways to turn vacant office space into residency buildings like San Francisco? Why is City Council looking into MORE building with Bohannon? If Bohannon is so all pro-San Mateo, why doesn't he come up with a compromise option that looks nice AND complies with Measure Y? If half the effort currently being given to find ways of abolishing Measure Y were put towards WORKING WITHIN Measure Y, Bohannon would already have his redevelopment idea into the City for approval.

Lastly. there has not been sufficient clarity with meetings, maps and discussions for deciding on what parts of Measure Y in the current staff presentations voters can agree or disagree with. The information that came out to the public for this meeting didn't allow sufficient time, nor could we propertly parse out details trying to examine them on paper. The public deserves the kind of meeting where color visuals are presented and explained and residents may interact with staff to get clarity and understanding. Thank you.

Sincerely, Jean Dail