

Somer Smith

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2023 7:36 PM
To: City Council (San Mateo)
Cc: General Plan
Subject: Building Heights and 2040 General Plan

Dear Councilmembers,

I have the following comments regarding building heights and the 2040 General Plan:

Building heights and density:

District 5 is disproportionately affected by the growth proposed in the General Plan yet very few neighbors received the survey regarding building heights. I believe that the survey sampling will not reflect the views of residents. I favor increasing building heights over Measure Y limits only for residential buildings (or Mixed use with over 80% residential). I support a maximum of 8-stories for a residential building (including any density bonus height) and only if required to meet RHNA housing numbers. The General Plan included over 21,410 new dwelling units and RHNA requirements are closer to 15,000 dwelling units. This is a 40% buffer and given the latest State population projections the next cycle should be less than 8,000 dwelling units. I am inclined to only support a ballot measure to increase building heights that place a threshold on the dwelling units built, such as 15,000.

GP and DEIR

The DEIR evaluated only a maximum project or no project. Given that there are “Unavoidable” Significant Noise and Air quality impacts associated with greater health risks, it would have made sense to study a more moderate alternative. It also seems completely inconsistent that these significant impacts are caused by traffic, but traffic itself is not a significant impact. Policy LU 6.1 Rail Corridor Plan speaks of “maintaining and improving the quality of life for those who already live and work in the area” but the increase of noise and air quality impacts indicated by the DEIR are in complete contradiction to this statement. I suspect that future traffic congestion and inadequate parking will also reduce the quality of life among residents.

Sincerely,
Lisa Maley