

From: Keith Weber <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 27, 2023 3:52 PM
To: City Council (San Mateo) <CityCouncil@cityofsanmateo.org>; Amourance Lee <alee@cityofsanmateo.org>; Rob Newsom <rnewsom@cityofsanmateo.org>; Adam Loraine <aloraine@cityofsanmateo.org>; Lisa Diaz Nash <ldiaznash@cityofsanmateo.org>; Richard Hedges <rhedges@cityofsanmateo.org>
Cc: Patrice Olds <polds@cityofsanmateo.org>; Zachary Dahl <zdahl@cityofsanmateo.org>; Alex Khojikian <akhojikian@cityofsanmateo.org>
Subject: 10/30/23 CC mtg. re Land Use/Circulation Elements, GP2040

Members of the City Council:

The proposed General Plan 2040 Land Use and Circulation Elements should **not** be approved for the following reasons:

1. They are based solely on RHNA growth projections that are questionable at best, and wildly inflated according to many, particularly when compared to recent population projections which indicate California's population will remain flat for the next 40 years.
2. They present a level of intense growth that far exceeds the necessity of providing housing through the current RHNA cycle.
3. They are contrary to the moderate and sustainable growth vision for our community which has been consistent for 3 elections over 3 decades, the most recent in 2020.
4. The current General Plan is valid until 2030 and has been determined to adequately meet our RHNA housing needs through the end of the current cycle at which time Measure Y will sunset, so there is no urgency to approving a new general plan.
5. The public review process has been designed to push a single hyper-growth agenda with pop-up and post-it note "input," and ruling out meaningful community conversations, discussions and compromises as has been the custom in San Mateo.

I ask the City Council to **please deny approval of the Land Use and Circulation elements of General Plan 2040.**

Thank you,
Keith Weber
San Mateo